Cover Sheet

				Cover blicet						
Organization										
Nevada Depar		<u>ildlife</u>								
Organization 501(2) FINA	• •				a (
501(c)(3) EIN# State Agency Governmental entity? VN										
4 1 1 (0 7)		D 1 EU		00004						
Address: 60 Y	outh Center	r Road, Elko,	Nevad	a 89801						
D • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
Project Name		• ,								
Spruce Mounta		on project		T						
Amount reque				Website:						
\$200.000.00 (U	J.S. Dollars)			http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/elko_fiel	<u>d_office/blm</u>	<u>_information/n</u>				
				epa/spruce_restoration.html						
Project start d	late (mm/yy	yy): October 2	2013	Project completion date (mm/yyyy): Jan	uary 2021					
F53.4. 0. 34										
_		-		attence with a max of 2 sentences): Conduc		activities in the				
vicinity of Spr	uce Mounta	iin to improve	habita	at resilience and mule deer winter range q	uality.					
	T .	1								
Key People:	Director:	Tony Wasle	e y							
	Board	Not Applica	ble- S	teve Foree (Supervising Habitat Biologist)	1					
	Chair:									
	Project	Name:	Cale	b K. McAdoo						
	Contact:									
		Position:	Gam	e Biologist						
		Phone:	(775)	777-2323						
		Fax:	N/A							
		Email:	cmca	ndoo@ndow.org						
Organization	Mission: To	protect, prese	erve, m	anage, and restore wildlife and its habitat	for its aesth	etic, scientific,				
educational, re	ecreational,	and economic	benef	it to citizens of Nevada						
Project is on (check all tha	at apply)X	Pul	olic Private land.						
Are governme	ent permits o	or decision do	cumen	ts needed for the project? <u>X</u> Yes	No					
If so, are those	e permits an	d decision do	cumen	ts already secured? <u>X</u> Yes No						
If permits and	decision do	cuments are 1	reeded	but not yet secured, in #4 of the Narrative	e Requireme	ents provide a				
list of permits	and docum	ents needed a	nd a sc	hedule for securing them.	_	_				
_										
Has your		If yes,								
organization received Date awar			:							
other grants from the Project # & title:										
Dream Tags		Amount of A				11				
Yes No (us	e	Date awarded				71				
additional to 1		Project # & ti				- 				
funded projec	ets)	Amount of A			_	 				
	<u> </u>	Timount of A	,, a. a.	l						

Grant Match

Match amoun	t to be provid	ded: \$ 193,500.00						
Match	Please provi	ide the form of your matching funds. If match is made up of both cash						
details:	and in-kind,	fill in both sections.						
	Match is:							
	Cash	\$ 193,500.00						
	In-kind							
	For the cash portion of your match, is the funding already being held by the applicant for this project? Yes _X_ No							
	,							

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT UNDER CONSIDERATION

Indicate the description that best fits the project you are proposing. Mark no more than three categories:	Indica	te the	descri	ption	that	best	fits	the	proj	ect	you	are ·	pro	oosing.	Mark	c no	more	than	three	categ	ories:
--	--------	--------	--------	-------	------	------	------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------	-----	---------	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	--------

- _X_ A. Projects that improve, protect, or restore habitat
 - B. Projects that embrace unique opportunities for advancing the mission of wildlife conservation in Nevada
 - __ C. Projects that address emergent needs
- ____ D. Other projects that meet the evaluation criteria

Narrative

- 1. **Specific project goals and measurable outcomes**: The objectives of the Spruce Mountain Restoration Project are to:
 - Reverse the expansion of pinyon-juniper woodlands to provide resilient habitats with an adequate understory which meet ecological site descriptions;
 - Improve existing crucial winter habitat for mule deer;
 - Prevent catastrophic large-scale wildland fires resulting from the buildup of fuels and the conversion of fuel type based on prediction from historic assessments;
 - Improve plant and wildlife species composition and diversity;
 - Reverse the decreasing quality of wildlife habitat and forage due to damage from wildfires and pinyon and juniper encroachment; and
 - Prevent the establishment and expansion of invasive non-native species.

These objectives will be met with a multi-year, multi-methodology treatment approach. By utilizing varied vegetation treatment methods, restoring and rejuvenating vegetative communities will ensure resilience of the plant communities and the wildlife which inhabit them.

For many areas, project success will be simply measured by number of acres in which the successional stage of the plant community was set-back to a more productive grass/browse dominated site. It is likely, that the magnitude of the benefits from this action will be so large that they will not, in their entirety, be able to be measured simply through vegetative monitoring and wildlife surveys. The true benefits of ecological resilience are often only fully understood when they are absent from the ecosystem entirely.

In other areas, the successful reduction of annual grasses and the integration of perennial plant species into the vegetative communities previously dominated by invasive annuals will be the measure of success.

For mule deer, by successfully rejuvenating senescent browse to be more palatable and nutritious, should be realized in the long-term by an increase in observed spring fawn recruitment.

2. *Project location*: The Project is Located in the immediate vicinity of Spruce Mountain, Elko County, Nevada, Approximately 38 miles south of Wells, Nevada (Figure 1). Major access roads to the project site extend from

Highway 93 and include the Tobar Road and the Goshute Valley Road. More specifically, the Project is Located within all or parts of the following Townships and Ranges: Township 29 North, Ranges 63-66 East; Township 30 North, Ranges 63-66 East; Township 31 North, Ranges 63-66 East; Township 32 North, Ranges 63-66 East; Township 33 North, Ranges 63-66 East; and Township 34 North, Ranges 63-66 East.

3. **Project description:** Pinyon-Juniper encroachment into sagebrush ecological sites is a West-wide phenomenon, largely due anthropomorphically manipulated fire regimes. Due to less frequent fires, late succession plants, such as pinyon and juniper trees, begin to dominate the landscape and as a result, understory species composition and abundance decreases. These tree dominated sites are typically low in wildlife diversity and provide little wildlife value. Additionally, catastrophic wildfires are more likely to occur in these heavy fuel loaded areas. For mule deer, these areas provide marginal habitat, with the best asset being that of thermal cover from the trees. The decadent habitats provide very little wildlife value in these Phase 3 and 4 areas. Such encroachment has occurred in the Spruce Mountain area in Northeastern Elko County and is reducing the quality and quantity of valuable wintering habitat for Mule deer. Spruce Mountain is the primary wintering area for the deer which summer in the East Humboldt Range, which is part of the larger "Ruby-Butte Deer herd" (Area 10 herd). Thousands of deer migrate each fall and spring to and from the East Humboldt's and Spruce Mountain.

As such, the Department of Wildlife initiated a 10,000 acre habitat restoration project, in cooperation with Elko Bureau of Land Management, in the immediate vicinity of Spruce Mountain. The Project has completely undergone the NEPA process and restoration activities have already been initiated. Beginning in the fall of 2013, \$153,500.00 has been spent on on-the-ground habitat restoration within the project area. Because of the large-scale of this project, treatments will be conducted over several years to accomplish treatment objectives.

More specifically, the Proposed Project is to implement vegetation treatments within 13 treatment polygons with the specific objectives of :

- Reversing the expansion of pinyon-juniper woodlands;
- Prevent catastrophic large-scale wildland fires resulting from the buildup of fuels and the conversion of fuel type based on prediction from historic assessments;
- Improve species composition and diversity;
- Reverse the decreasing quality of wildlife habitat and forage due to damage from wildfires and pinyon and juniper encroachment; and
- Prevent the establishment and expansion of invasive non-native species.

The 13 above mentioned treatment polygons are depicted on the Figures 1-5, below. The proposed vegetation treatments would be implemented individually or in combination depending on site conditions within the treatment polygons; if it is determined that a certain type of treatment is not appropriate for a site within a treatment polygon, those treatments would not be implemented on that site. Table 1 illustrates the approved treatment types and the treatment polygons which would be implemented.

For a more in depth look at the approved Project subsequent Environmental Assessment, please visit the project website:

http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/elko field office/blm information/nepa/spruce restoration.html

- 4. **Permitting:** An Environmental Assessment (EA) was completed for this Project and a record of Decision was issued. Field work was initiated in October of 2013, upon approval of the EA. Archaeological clearances may be required in certain treatment polygons at the discretion of the BLM's Field Office.
- 5. Future Phases: Because of the Project scale (10,000 acres of treatment) the Project will be implemented over multiple years. Multi-year Project Rehabilitation Projects are inherently expensive, as such, budget requirements have not been completely matched with funding sources. At a minimum, the following funds have been or will be Pursued: Healthy Lands Initiative (HLI) funding from BLM, Internal BLM funding, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Mule Deer Foundation, Partners for Conservation Development, Nevada Bighorns Unlimited Reno, Elko Bighorns, NDOW Habitat Assessment Fee, and the Wildlife Heritage Fund.

6. *Principals involved*: Caleb K. McAdoo (NDOW) - Mr. McAdoo is the managing biologist for the Spruce Mountain Area and is Project lead for the Department of Wildlife.

Matthew Murphy (BLM) – Mr. Murphy is the Fuels and Forestry Specialist with the BLM and is the Primary Point of contact for the Bureau of Land Management.

7. *Number of staff positions involved:* The overseers of this Project are comprised entirely of Government Agencies\employees and project implementation will be conducted by numerous contractors and volunteers. No money used from the Dream Tag Fund or other donations, will be used for salaries or administrative costs, but rather, will be used for direct implementation of on the ground work through the use of contract services.

That being said, eight part-time positions (NDOW and BLM) will be involved in the Project to ensure objectives are met and to ensure quality assurance and quality control. These positions include; Game and Habitat Biologists, Range Specialists, Conservation Education Staff, Media Specialists, and Supervising staff.

8. *Number of volunteers involved:* Opportunities for volunteer participation in the Spruce Mountain Restoration Project are almost limitless; however; it realistically anticipated that up to 75 volunteers and 5,000 volunteer hours could be logged by completion of the project in 2020.

The Project proponents will endorse and encourage volunteer participation and will utilize NDOW's Volunteer Program to involve participation from the general public and non-governmental organizations.

9. Time Line of Project.

Table 2. Approximate timeline and objectives associated with the Spruce Mountain Restoration Project

Date	Objectives/Milestones Verbal Description	Task(s) completed	Objective Treatment Acreages
Winter 2013/2014	Utilize Hand-thinning in the "Upper Spruce Spring" Treatment Polygon	X	250
Spring 2013	Continue Hand-thinning in "Upper Spruce Spring" Treatment Polygon	To be completed	250
Winter 2014/2015	Conduct mechanical treatment in the "Coyote East and Upper Spruce Spring" Treatment Polygons	To be completed	1,200
Winter 2015/2016	Conduct Mechanical treatment in the "Basco" treatment Polygon	To be completed	1,500
Winter 2016/2017	Conduct mechanical treatment in the "Coyote North Bowl"	To be completed	1,200
Winter 2017/2018	Conduct maintenance/mechanical treatment in the "Honeymoon" treatment Polygon	To be completed	2,000
Winter 2018/2019	Conduct treatment in the "Westside Lower" treatment polygon	To be completed	2,000
Winter 2019/2020	Conduct treatment in the "Lower Spruce Spring", "Coyote Basin bottom", and Indian Creek Treatment Polygons	To be completed	1,200
Winter/2020/2021	Conduct annual grass removal in the "East Spruce Ridge" treatment polygon	To be completed	400

Table 1 Spruce Mountain Restoration Approved Treatments

	Proposed T										
Polygons	Prescribed F		Management	Chaining	Mastication	Hand	Herbicide	Seeding	Vegetatio	Firewood	Maintenance
	Broadcast	Pile Burning				Thinning			n	Cutting	
	Burning		Wildland Fire						Treatment		
Basco Chaining		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Maintenance											
Brush Creek	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X
Coyote Basin		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Bottom											
Coyote East		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Coyote North	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X
Bowl											
Demonstration							X				
East Spruce							X	X	X		X
Ridge											
Honeymoon		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chaining											
Maintenance											
Indian Creek		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Lower Spruce		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Upper Spruce	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X
Westside Lower		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Westside Upper	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

10. Success.

The Project Proponent would not only inform all charitable donors of endeavors towards the completion of the Spruce Mountain Restoration Project, but to also publicly recognize them, as well. As a funding partner in this project, the Project proponents would commit to submitting yearly progress reports which would, at a minimum, document: treated acreages, annual budgets, treatment methods, and any available monitoring data. Furthermore, at the request of the Committee, coordinated field tours could be made to show the ongoing progress and responses to treatments.

In addition to alerting the Committee of Project completion, the Project proponents would eagerly recognize our funding partners in all publications and media releases (website publications, T.V. spots, Facebook and Twitter posts, radio, etc.). At the desire and approval of the committee, the Nevada Dream Tags Fund logo would be used in such social media to give further recognition and advertisement of the benefit of the fund towards worthwhile projects.

11. Grant match.

The Project proponents fully recognize the importance of leveraging dollars towards the benefit of habitat improvement and wildlife projects and towards having investment into the project. In the above "Grant Match" section, along with the \$200,000.00 requested, we show a vested commitment of over 75 **percent total grant-match** of that being requested from the Nevada Dream Tags Fund. This 75 percent has already been dedicated and implemented for this project. Additionally, for fiscal year 2015, nearly a 1:1 grant match would be committed by the project proponents to that requested from the Dream Tag Foundation.

12. Project budget:

Table 3 Spruce Restoration Projected Budget Needs and Funding Sources

Budget Item		Other	-		Projected
Description*/Implementation Year	Dream Tag Fund	Funding Name**	Match \$	Total	Budget Needs***
FY2014	Tag Fund	Name	Match \$	Total	Necus
Design/Engineering	\$	NDOW	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	
Permitting	\$	BLM/NDOW	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	
Labor—volunteer	\$	NDOW	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	
Implementation	\$	BLM Base	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	
	\$	Heritage(fy13)	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	
	\$	RMEF	\$23,500.00	\$23.500.00	
	\$	Habitat Cons.	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00	
	\$	Partners	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	
Totals	\$ 0.00		\$193,500.00	\$193,500.00	
		FY 201	4 Sub Total	\$193,500.00	\$193,500.00
FY2015					
Implementation	\$200,000.00*	Heritage	\$100,000.00*	\$300,000.00	
		Habitat Cons.	\$ 40,000.00*	\$ 40,000.00	
		BLM	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	
Totals	\$200,000.00		\$190,000.00	\$390,000.00	
		FY 201	5 Sub Total	\$390,000.00*	\$390,000.00
FY2016	**	**	**	**	\$(200,000.00)
FY2017	**	**	**	**	\$(150,000.00)
FY2018	**	**	**	**	\$(400,000.00)
FY2019	**	**	**	**	\$(400,000.00)
FY2020	**	**	**	**	\$(200,000.00)
					A (100 000 00)
FY2021	**	**	**	**	\$(100,000.00)
TOTAL	\$200,000.00		######################################	A # 0.0 # 0.0 0.0	(h4 4 = 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
			\$383,500.00	\$583,500.00	(\$1,450,000.00)

^{*}Approval pending by authorizing committee

^{**}Funding Sources have not been matched to budget needs

^{***}Based on a goal of 10,000 acres of treatment (@ \$203.35/acre average cost). For Fiscal Years where budget needs exceed available funds, total acreages would be curtailed to match the available funding











